OpenID Security Issues

Ashish Jain & Andrew Nash & Jeff Hodges, PayPal Information Risk Management

OpenID Summit 2-Nov-2009

Overview

- Known security issues with OpenID exist
 - Inherent in protocol spec
 - Due to Browser/HTTP/Web characteristics
 - Implementation & deployment practices
 - o ...and combinations thereof...
- This is an attempt to help consolidate the list and agree on approaches as we move forward
 - Though, this preso is not comprehensive
- Note: ICAMOpenID 2.0 Profile and Security Best Practices are good start
 - o address some of following issues

Protocol Spec Security Issues

- Browser is de-facto man-in-the-middle
 - o messages/assertions are vulnerable when transiting browser
- Vulnerability to active attackers
 - Session Swapping
 - Open Redirector issue with checkid_immediate
- Association (shared secret) establishment
 - o man-in-the-middle vulnerabilities
 - o (RFC 2631 not properly followed)
- HTML discovery / Phishing
- End-entity Man-in-the-middle (RP/OP spoof'g)
- Protocol mods required to truly address these

Browser as Man-in-the-middle

- Messages and assertions flow unencrypted between OP and RP via browser
- Thus the browser is interesting entity to attack
 - e.g. message and/or assertion alter/copy due to..
 - no message/assertion encryption
 - most messages are unsigned
- Protocol messages lack robust linkages
 - to each other and to protocol runs
 - o thus larger attack surface than if they incorporated such measures

- An attacker can cause victim browsers to log into RP accounts the attacker controls
 - "Positive Assertion" is not bound to the browser
 - OP authenticates Mallory (M), but M can cause Alice
 (A)'s browser to send the assertion to RP
 - result: A logged-in as M at RP

- Various Possible Consequences...
 - "silently" log A into M's account on A's favorite search engine -- M can spy on A's searches
 - M trick A into entering her credit card into M's online retail account
 - likely other possibilities...

Browser/HTTP/Web Issues

- E.g...
 - Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)
 - Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
 - Framing
- Session Swapping one example of former
- XSS/Framing could be used to siphon off assertions
 - by exploiting the browser as MITM
- There may be protocol spec and/or profile spec mitigations
 - o requires investigation

HTML discovery / Phishing

- Much already written about this
- Protocol spec is monolithic
 - o OP discovery is not obviously a separate component spec-wise, plus...
 - "HTML-Based discovery MUST be supported by Relying Parties."
- Profiles (e.g. ICAM) can mitigate
 - E.g. "use only 'directed identity'..."

End-entity Man-in-the-middle (RP/OP spoof'g)

- Where RP and/or OP are "rogue"
 - o e.g. RP redirects browser to bogus OP and obtains credentials
 - Realm spoofing
- Difficult to address without more formal "trust" mechanisms supported in the protocol
- All Web SSO protocols struggle with this

Implementation & deployment practices

- Overall fairly well addressed in ICAMOpenID 2.0 Profile and Security Best Practices
 - though, profiles & practices such as these don't address various of the prior issues
- But more could be done
 - Different use cases may call for different profiles
 - Security Best Practices is a 'good start'
- Protocol evolution will affect these

Various items not mentioned above

- RP collusion mitigation
- Identifier recycling issues
- User privacy w.r.t. OP

End